



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,379	08/25/2006	Craig B. Stolarczyk	9739-070	3341
20575	7590	02/01/2012	EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204				ABRAHAM, AMJAD A
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1744				
NOTIFICATION DATE			DELIVERY MODE	
02/01/2012			ELECTRONIC	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@techlaw.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/598,379	STOLARCZYK ET AL.	
	Examiner AMJAD ABRAHAM	Art Unit 1744	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AMJAD ABRAHAM. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jerome Marger. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2012.

Type:  Telephonic  Video Conference  
 Personal [copy given to:  applicant  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Giorgini.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Discussed whether or not Giorgini's composition would be sag resistant. Applicant stated that the Gel time is an indicator of the ability of the polyurethane to be self supporting (sag resistant). Examiner submitted that Giorgini's composition has the same gel time as applicant's material. Examiner suggested that if indeed the composition of Giorgini and that of the claim invention are different, applicant should amend the claim to better describe the inventive composition (additional materials or composition percentages). No agreement as to the claims were reached.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/AMJAD ABRAHAM/ Examiner, Art Unit 1744	
--	--